## 8662.1.1

From: Miller, Wayne O. [mailto:miller99@linl.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 05, 2010 5:07 PM
To: Ratzel, Arthur C; Bill.Lehr@noaa.gov

Cc: 'mcnutt@usgs.gov; Dykhuizen, Ronald C

Subject: RE: Flow Rate Calculation

Tom

Jasked Wayne Miller to respond to Bill Lehr's questions passed on to us earlier today by Marcia McNutt. I had also copied you but the email bounced — used Comcast.com instead of Comcast.net (copst)

Below I've attached his response. I'll visit with Ron Dykhuizen and the rest of the Tri-Lab Flow Analysis Team and confirm that we responded to the questions related to compressibility appropriately; I also recall hearing the same numbers being mentioned in discussions with Paul Habel hast week when we were trying to establish conditions for the reservoir that could help us with computing flow rates for times other than the shut-in period.

As I recall the reservoir rock compressibility of 6x10<sup>-5</sup>/psi was the original estimate from BP. Paul Hsieh of USGS used this value, and also 12,18 in his reservoir studies. Higher values resulted in higher flow rates all else equal. It was a modeling parameter used to try and fit limited observed data. I recall Paul liked 12 the best, but any could be made to work with different reservoir assumptions such as size and aquifer drive. He discussed this in the July 30 round-table and the results are in the compiled presentation (also attached).

