

From: Schott, David W

Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 3:45 PM

To: McAughan, Kelly; Kurtz, Jessica A

Cc: Willson, Stephen SM

Subject: RE: Macondo PVC

Hi Kelly,

Not honoring sidewall data is the point isn't it? Because, we know from Santa Cruz data and intuitively that sidewall core data is conservative just from the way the grains are aligned. I don't get what you and Steve are driving at, we shouldn't be strictly confined to the sidewall data at Macondo or anywhere.

Subject: RE: Macondo PVC

FYI... I don't know if we will increase it. Steve and Bob are going to talk. I'm still chasing aquifer size.

From: Willson, Stephen SM

Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 07:00


To: McAughan, Kelly; Kurtz, Jessica A

Subject: RE: Macondo PVC

== File: Macondo and Santa Cruz Formation Compressibility Calculations ZEP ==

Hi Kelly - I don't think you can get much above 6 microsigns and still honor the data. Here's a calculator that is consistent for both Macondo and Santa Cruz for the M56. It is not wholly creative, but I can get with you and Bob

CONFIDENTIAL



BP-HZN-2179MDL05884804

BP0602-012114

TREX 008774.0001