

From: Paine, Kate (QuaDril Energy LT)
Sent: Mon Mar 08 10:49:10 2010
To: Albertin, Martin L.
Cc: Wagner, Bruce E; Bodek, Robert; Brannen, John (QO Inc.)
Subject: Macondo PP model Mar 8
Importance: Normal
Attachments: MC 252 Mar8 12350MD.SAV

<<...>>

I have no faith in my sonic picks. I basically filtered out everything under 108 us and everything over 140 us then averaged the hell out of it. I didn't want to break the model to force the curve.
Its interesting what the resistivity and sonic do when I use default Presgraf parameters. "interesting" in that I'd have been claiming that we were basically 1ppg higher since the mudline than what the seismic has been seeing.
I'm not willing to break/move/adjust the resistivity even though its deviated from the predrill because I think its being influenced by the gas. I may adjust it if it seems to be making any kind of sense as we drill today.
The cavings scream shear failure. How do your rock boys address shear failure =< PP? Do they have the same impossible stance KSI had? Since we're not running caliper logs over this hole section, the question is of course academic.

Cordially,
Kate Paine
Wellsite Pore Pressure
Kate.Paine@bp.com



CONFIDENTIAL

BP-HZN-2179MDL00021074