

From: Austin, Meredith CAPT
 Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 11:37:06 AM
 To: Harzaik, James CAPT
 Subject: RE: Request for 32,000 gallons of surface dispersant application

Annen James!

Really, you're leaving us? Who's going to take your place?

-----Original Message-----

From: Harzaik, James CAPT
 Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 10:07 AM
 To: Lloyd, Anthony CAPT
 Cc: Gaultier, Peter CAPT; Caplis, John CAPT; Austin, Meredith CAPT; Laferriere, Roger CAPT; Perry, Raymond CAPT
 Subject: FW: Request for 32,000 gallons of surface dispersant application

Anthony,

Sunday, I received a request from BP to possibly get approval for aerial dispersants for several large slicks off the coast of LA. In an attempt to consult with our EPA "partners" I requested that RADM Wilson speak to ADM Allen to notify Administrator Jackson of the potential for us to use aerial dispersants. ADM Allen requested that RADM Wilson speak to Jackson. He did that

trustees but willing to go forward with aerial application. It would be a travesty if the oil hits the beach because we did not use the tools available to fight this offshore. This responsibility needs to be placed squarely in EPA's court if it does hit the shoreline. There was tripling of response resources after it hit LA, the consequences will be much worse if it hits FL and AL, and LA again.

could proceed forward. We have a checklist that has been previously completed about 200 miles out at sea...

We got on a conference call last night with EPA and the best they could do is attack the response based on the lack of data...which we have mounds of and they are not reviewing.

Don't you think that it is odd that the EPA would be directing the FOSC when and when not to use dispersants? We have a 2 mile x 6+ mile slick offshore that skimmers cannot get to and we have the EPA telling us to justify our use of surface dispersants which are already preapproved/preauthorized by the RRT. We also have an agency that is "outside" the response calling the shots at the national level. We have senior leadership intimidated by the repercussions of their decisions and the unwillingness to make them based on what EPA's desires are and the potential to alleviate/prevent interagency tensions.

At this point in time 33,515,000 gallons of oil has been released. We have applied 1,121,696 gallons of dispersant subssea and surface to the Gulf of Mexico that contains 6.23 x 10¹⁷ superscript quadrillion gallons of water. Which is fed by the Mississippi river which dumps an average of 33 million gallons/sec into the Gulf. This does not include the other watersheds/rivers that add to the Gulf. I'm sure that there is an affect...on the oceans, already noted by RRT6

trustees but willing to go forward with aerial application. It would be a travesty if the oil hits the beach because we did not use the tools available to fight this offshore. This responsibility needs to be placed squarely in EPA's court if it does hit the shoreline. There was tripling of response resources after it hit LA, the consequences will be much worse if it hits FL and AL, and LA again.



CONFIDENTIAL

HCG873-02270

TREX-012495

TREX-012495.000001