From: Willson, Stephen SM
Sent: Tue Jul 06 21:05:56 2010
To: Schott, David W
Cc: McAughan, Kelly; Kurtz, Jessica A; Merrill, Robert C
Subject: RE: Macondo PVC
Importance: Normal

David - I have spoken with Bob Merrill and have more context now around the question being asked. I will do some work using the better quality Na Kika area compressibility data that we have and will revise the predictions of compressibility. The initial response was more to do with what we measured on the Macondo RSWCs, which as you correctly point out have some inherent biases.
I should have something later today on this.
Steve

work using the better quality Na Kika area compressibility data that we have and will revise the predictions of compressibility. The initial response was more to do with what we measured on the Macondo RSWCs, which as you correctly point out have some inherent biases.
I should have something later today on this.

Sincerely,
David W. Schott
Atlantis Phase 3 Project Manager
Atlantis Resource Team
281-366-5848 (W)
281-536-9124 (C)

From: McAughan, Kelly
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 3:39 PM
To: Schott, David W; Kurtz, Jessica A
Subject: FW: Macondo PVC

FYI... I don't know if we will increase it. Steve and Bob are going to talk. I'm still chasing aquifer size.

From: Willson, Stephen SM
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 2:57 PM
To: McAughan, Kelly; Merrill, Robert C
Subject: RE: Macondo PVC

<< File: Macondo and Santa Cruz Formation Compressibility Calculator.ZIP >>
Hi Kelly - I don't think you can go much above 6 microsips and still honor the data. Here's a calculator that is consistent for both Macondo and Santa Cruz for the M56L. It is not wholly intuitive, but I can get with you and Bob

8774
Exhibit No. _____
Worldwide Court Reporters, Inc.

CONFIDENTIAL

BP-HZN-2179MDL05864804
BPD402-012154

TREX 008774.0001

TREX-008774.1.3.US