

Document Produced Natively



John LaBleue Interview notes by Matt Lucas
4/29/2010

John is Drilling Fluid Engineer

There were challenges due to mud losses and pressures

Have losses and kicks in last 100 ft. of pipe

Last interval 14.1 mud weight to 18260 ft, saw poor pressure increase, mud weight to 14.2, 14.3, had gas

Then target mud to 14.4

15,000 ft, lost mud and circulation, 300 BBL/hr
84ft/bbl? LCM? Pump as and identification tool to see where they were

175-185 bbl pill, did nothing, couldn't id anything
formaset pill, 200 plus 200 bbl, worked up higher-stopped losses

washed hole out, no losses

decision to drill another 100 ft, also mud wt to 14
pund, had about 50bbl of losses

did lcl pill and stoppped losses

elog 5 days static, tools had problems last 100 ft.
wondered if pill came back
bit had little resistance, no mud losses

picked up tapered string, circulated, no losses

3100 psi to move ball, seemed to increase

circ pressure seemed too low vs. modeling
cement job pressure less than haliburton model

maybe plug bumped

John recalled April 14th morning meeting mud report

next event NILE? Different

MI said that only 1300 bbl on rig, concern that that wouldn't be enough

After cement, not sure about model

Couldn't understand why pressure was so low

The negative test was unusual
John Guide, and ____? To ops room to help
undersant mud transfer

300 bbl gain in active pit

trip tank lined up improperly, but not the case
he thought there might have been a possible
collapse of casing, but couldn't justify